

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/848,339	05/04/2001	Gideon Fostick	Q63704	6762	
7590 12/17/2003 SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC			EXAMINER DANIEL JR, WILLIE J		
5			2686	\ <u>\</u>	
			DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003	3	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N	lo.	Applicant(s)					
	09/848,339		FOSTICK ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Willie J. Danie	·	2686					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the co	ver sheet with the co	orrespondence add	iress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rej - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailinearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	l. 1.136(a). In no event, h eply within the statutory d will apply and will exp ate, cause the application	owever, may a reply be tim minimum of thirty (30) days ire SIX (6) MONTHS from to be to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this color (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requ	irement.						
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.							
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05/04/2001</u> is/are: a) \square accepted or b) \boxtimes objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bure: * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domessince a specific reference was included in the first 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language properties. The translation of the foreign language properties are ference was included in the first sentence of the foreign language properties.	nts have been rents have been relicity documents au (PCT Rule 17 st of the certified stic priority unde first sentence of provisional applicatic priority unde	eceived. eceived in Application have been received 7.2(a)). copies not receive r 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) the specification or eation has been receive r 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National S d. e) (to a provisional in an Application I eived. and/or 121 since a	application) Data Sheet.				
Attachment(s)	أنم	Interview Commercia	(PTO 412) Page Note	`				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5)	Interview Summary Notice of Informal Pa						

Art Unit: 2686

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 04 May 2001 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and is being considered by the examiner.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Fig. 2 has (ref. 1030), (ref. 1035), (ref. 1040) which are not included in specification. A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because it contains an embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. Applicant is required to delete the embedded hyperlink and/or other form of browser-executable code. See MPEP § 608.01.

The specification has hyperlinks on pg. 5, lines 1-2, 10, 19.

Application/Control Number: 09/848,339 Page 3

Art Unit: 2686

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1, 2, 5-8, 11, 12, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Takala (WO 99/53699).

Regarding Claim 1, Takala discloses a system (Fig. 1) for managing at least one SMS message by at least one message receiver (DTE), comprising:

i. an SMS Center (SMSC) for handling and processing the at least one SMS message for the at least one message receiver (DTE) (see pg. 6, lines 10-21; Fig. 1 (ref. "2" and "SMSC");

ii. an Auto-Reply Message Server (ARMS) (3) for storing and managing at least one Auto-Reply Message for the message receiver (DTE) (see pg. 6, lines 16-27; Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 2, Takala discloses a system further comprising an SMS Automatic Handling Server (SAHS) for handling at least one message instruction for the message receiver (DTE) (see pg. 7, lines 20-24; Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 5, Takala discloses a system, wherein said ARMS includes:

a mechanism for enabling said at least one message receiver (DTE) to set up and manage
at least one automatic reply message (see pg. 6, lines 16-27);

a database (3) for maintaining said at least one automatic reply message for said at least one message receiver (DTE) (see pg. 6, line 16-27; Fig. 1); and

Art Unit: 2686

a server (2) for serving said at least one automatic reply message to said SMSC (see pg. 6, lines 16-27; Fig. 1).

Regarding Claim 6, Takala discloses a system (Fig. 1), wherein said SAHS includes:

a. a mechanism for enabling said message receiver (DTE) to set up and manage at least
one alternative handling instruction (see pg. 7, lines 20-36);

b. at least one database (3) for maintaining said at least one alternative handling instruction for said at least one message receiver (DTE) (see pg. 7, line 20-36), where the user is able to setup additional instructions based on certain numbers, identifiers, and fields; and

c. a server (2) for serving said at least one alternative handling instruction to said SMSC, (see pg. 7, line 20 - pg. 8, line 2).

Regarding Claim 7, Takala discloses a system (Fig. 1) for managing at least one SMS message by at least one message receiver (DTE), comprising (pg. 6, line 16-27):

i. an SMS Center (SMSC) for handling the at least one SMS message for the at least one message receiver (see pg. 6, line 10-29; Fig. 1); and

ii. an SMS Automatic Handling Server (SAHS) for executing at least one message instruction for the at least one message receiver (DTE) (see pg. 7, lines 20-24; Fig. 1), where the user is able to setup a message based on alternative instructions that will provide information from certain fields.

Regarding Claim 8, Takala discloses a system (Fig. 1) wherein said SMSC includes an Auto-Reply Message Server (ARMS) for storing and managing at least one Auto-Reply Message for the at least one message receiver (DTE) (pg. 6, line 12-29; Fig. 1 "ref. 3"),

where the SMSC is capable of storage and delivery functions of short messages and automated responses.

Regarding Claim 11, Takala discloses a method of automatically replying to SMS messages, comprising:

i. setting up at least one automated reply message on an Automated Reply Message Server (ARMS) (see pg. 6, lines 16-29; Fig. 1 "ref. 2");

ii. querying said ARMS in response to receiving an SMS message, to determine whether there is at least one automated reply message to be executed, by an SMS Center (SMSC) (see pg. 6, lines 16-21; pg. 7, lines 24-28; Fig. 1), where querying of database 5 to determine which user information to retrieve;

iii. where there is no automated reply message, sending said SMS message to said SMS message's destination, by said SMS center (see pg. 7, lines 20-24; pg. 8, lines 19-21), where the subscriber has the option of setting up and storing a message in the answering server in cases where no message is stored calls will be transferred to the subscriber's terminal as done in normal operation;

iv. if there is an automated reply message, sending said automated reply message to said SMS center, by said ARMS (see pg. 6, lines 10-27; pg. 7, lines 24-28; Fig. 1), where the SMSC handles delivering of messages; and

v. if there is more than one automated reply message, sending to said ARMS said SMS message, such that said message acts as an identifier, which reads on the claimed "key" for choosing an appropriate automated reply message, and such that said ARMS returns to said SMS Center said appropriate automated reply message (see pg. 7, lines 29 - col. 8, line 22),

Art Unit: 2686

where the identifier is recognized to deliver a specific response in accordance to what the subscriber has setup in the fields.

Regarding Claim 12, Takala discloses a method wherein said SMS message received is a "trigger message", enabling triggering off at least one ARM (see pg. 7, lines 29 - col. 8, line 22), where the identifier is recognized to deliver a specific response in accordance to what fields the subscriber has setup.

Regarding Claim 14, Takala discloses a method, wherein said setting up of at least one automated reply message is performed by using a mechanism selected from the group consisting of:

- I. a form on a Web-based interface (see pg. 7, lines 6-13); and
- II. a SMS message sent to said ARMS from a communications device (see pg. 7, lines 14-19; Fig.1), where a message or call from A-subscriber is being transferred to the answering server 2 of B-subscriber.

Regarding Claim 15, Takala discloses a method of managing SMS messages, comprising (see pg. 6, line 16-27; Fig. 1):

- a. setting up at least one alternative handling instruction on an SMS Automatic Handling Server (SAHS), by at least one message receiver (see pg. 7, lines 12-36; Fig. 1);
- b. sending a message to said message receiver (DTE), by a message sender (see pg. 7, lines 12 pg. 8, line 22; Fig. 1);
- c. querying said SAHS to determine whether there is an alternative handling instruction to be executed for said message, by an SMS Center (SMSC) (see pg. 7, lines 12 pg. 8, line

Application/Control Number: 09/848,339 Page 7

Art Unit: 2686

22; Fig. 1), where the querying of databases 3 and 5 to determine which user information to retrieve in order to carry out the alternative handling instruction;

d. where there is no alternative handling instruction, sending said message to said message receiver, by said SMS center (see pg. 7, lines 20-24, pg. 8, lines 19-22), where the subscriber has the option of storing and setting up a reply message based on alternative instructions in the answering server and for instances when no message is stored calls will be transferred to the subscriber's terminal as done in normal operation;

e. if there is at least one alternative handling instruction, serving said one alternative handling instruction to said SMS center (SMSC) (see pg. 6, lines 10-27; pg. 7, lines 12 - pg. 8, line 22; Fig. 1), where the SMSC handles the delivering of messages.; and

f. executing said appropriate alternative handling instruction, by said SMS center (see pg. 7, lines 20-36; Fig. 1), where the user is able to setup a message based on alternative instructions and provide specific information from the fields.

Regarding Claim 16, Takala discloses a method wherein said at least one alternative handling instruction is selected from the group consisting of automatic replying, automatic forwarding, automatic deleting and automatic filtering (see pg. 7, lines 20-36).

Regarding Claim 17, Takala discloses a method wherein said setting up one or more automated reply messages is performed by using a mechanism selected from the group consisting of:

One) a form on a Web-based interface (see pg. 7, lines 6-13); and

Art Unit: 2686

Two) at least one SMS message sent to said SAHS from a communications device (see pg. 7, lines 14-19; Fig.1), where a message or call from A-subscriber is being transferred to the answering server (2) of B-subscriber.

Regarding Claim 18, Takala discloses a method of claim 15, wherein said at least one alternative handling instruction is configured to be sent to at least one group of message senders (see pg. 7, lines 20-36), where an identifier is recognized to deliver a specific response in accordance to what fields the recipient or subscriber has setup.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/848,339 Page 9

Art Unit: 2686

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 9, 10, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takala (WO 99/53699) in view of Lahtinen (US 5,351,235).

Regarding Claim 3, Takala teaches of having a system that performs automatic response according to instructions with the functionality being provided by software and different elements (see pg. 6, lines 16-29; pg. 7, lines 20-36). Takala fails to disclose having a SAHS located within the SMSC. However, the examiner maintains that having a SAHS located within the SMSC was well known in the art, as taught by Lahtinen.

In the same field of endeavor, Lahtinen teaches of having the software and other elements such as the functions of the SAHS located within the SC, which reads on the claimed "SMSC" (see col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 5, lines 60-64; Figs. 1, 2, 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen to have a system wherein said SAHS is located within said SMSC.

The advantage of combining the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen is to have a network in which a message switching service is provided for subscribers that will allow the short message service center (SC) as a part of the service to provide receiving and

Art Unit: 2686

transmitting of messages in digital form on the basis of the so-called "store and forward" principle, from one mobile phone to another mobile phone.

Regarding Claim 4, Takala teaches of having a system that performs automatic response according to instructions with the functionality being provided by software and different elements (see pg. 6, lines 16-29; pg. 7, lines 20-36). Takala fails to disclose having an ARMS located within the SMSC. However, the examiner maintains that having an ARMS located within the SMSC was well known in the art, as taught by Lahtinen.

Lahtinen further teaches of having the software and other elements such as the functions of the ARMS located within the SC, which reads on the claimed "SMSC" (see col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 5, lines 60-64; Figs. 1, 2, 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen to have a system wherein said ARMS is located within said SMSC.

The advantage of combining the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen is to have a network in which a message switching service is provided for subscribers that will allow the short message service center (SC) as a part of the service to provide receiving and transmitting of messages in digital form on the basis of the so-called "store and forward" principle, from one mobile phone to another mobile phone.

Regarding Claim 9, Takala teaches of having a system for managing at least one SMS message by at least one message receiver (DTE), comprising: i. an SMS Center (SMSC) for handling at least one SMS message for at least one said message receiver (DTE) (see pg. 6, line 12-29; pg. 7, 20-36; Fig. 1), where the SMSC handles the delivering of

messages. Takala fails to disclose having one component including both the ARMS and SAHS. However, the examiner maintains that having an ARMS and SAHS located within the SMSC was well known in the art, as taught by Lahtinen.

Lahtinen further teaches of having a component including an Auto-Reply Message Server (ARMS) for storing and managing at least one Auto-Reply Message for said message receiver, and an SMS Automatic Handling Server (SAHS), for handling at least one message instruction for said message receiver (SMT) (see col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 5, lines 60-64; Fig. 6 "ref. SC"), where one component (SC) includes software and other elements to provide functions such as those of the ARMS and SAHS.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen to have a system for managing at least one SMS message by at least one message receiver, comprising: i. an SMS Center (SMSC) for handling at least one SMS message for at least one said message receiver; and ii. a component including an Auto-Reply Message Server (ARMS) for storing and managing at least one Auto-Reply Message for said message receiver, and an SMS Automatic Handling Server (SAHS), for handling at least one message instruction for said message receiver.

The advantage of combining the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen is to have a network in which a message switching service is provided for subscribers that will allow the short message service center (SC) as a part of the service to provide receiving and transmitting of messages in digital form on the basis of the so-called "store and forward" principle, from one mobile phone to another mobile phone.

Art Unit: 2686

Regarding Claim 10, the combination of Takala and Lahtinen disclose everything claimed, as applied above (see claim 9), in addition Takala further teaches to have a system, wherein said ARMS (2) and said SAHS (3) are separate databases or servers which reads on the claimed "entities" (see pg. 6, lines 16-27; pg. 7, lines 20-36; Fig. 1), where the information needed is provided by separate databases.

Regarding Claim 19, Takala teaches of a system for managing at least one SMS message by at least one message receiver (DTE), such that the message is delivered to a group of message senders, comprising: an SMS Center (SMSC) for handling the SMS message for the at least one said message receiver (see pg. 6, line 12-29; pg. 7, 20-36; Fig. 1), where the SMSC handles the delivering of messages. Takala fails to disclose having one component including both the ARMS and SAHS. However, the examiner maintains that having a component including both the ARMS and SAHS was well known in the art, as taught by Lahtinen.

Lahtinen further teaches of having a component including an Auto-Reply Message Server (ARMS) for storing and managing at least one Auto-Reply Message for said message receiver, and an SMS Automatic Handling Server (SAHS), for handling at least one message instruction for said message receiver (SMT), such that said instruction pertains to at least one group message (see col. 4, lines 7-11; col. 5, lines 60-64; Fig. 6 "ref. SC"), where one component (SC) includes software and other elements to provide functions such as those of the ARMS and SAHS.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen to have a system

Art Unit: 2686

for managing at least one SMS message by at least one message receiver, such that the message is delivered to a group of message senders, comprising: i. an SMS Center (SMSC) for handling the SMS message for the at least one said message receiver; and ii. a component including an Auto-Reply Message Server (ARMS) for storing and managing at least one Auto-Reply Message for said message receiver, and an SMS Automatic Handling Server (SAHS), for handling at least one message instruction for said message receiver, such that said instruction pertains to at least one group message.

The advantage of combining the teachings of Takala and Lahtinen is to have a network in which a message switching service is provided for subscribers that will allow the short message service center (SC) as a part of the service to provide receiving and transmitting of messages in digital form on the basis of the so-called "store and forward" principle, from one mobile phone to another mobile phone.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Takala (WO 99/53699) in view of Lohtia et al. (US 6,560,456).

Regarding Claim 13, Takala teaches of having automated reply messages being sent to the sender upon receiving a SMS message (see pg. 6, lines 16-27; pg. 7, lines 20-36).

Takala fails to disclose having the SMS original message included in the response. However, the examiner maintains that having a SMS original message included in the response was well known in the art, as taught by Lohtia et al.

In the same field of endeavor, Lohtia et al. teaches of having the SMS original message sent back to the sender with the response (see col. 3, lines 52-56; Fig. 4), where the

• Application/Control Number: 09/848,339 Page 14

Art Unit: 2686

user sends a requests via a SMS original message in which the response is returned to the user with the SMS original message included.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Takala and Lohtia et al. to have a method further comprising sending said SMS message together with said at least one automated reply message, to a message sender, by said SMS center.

The advantage of combining the teachings of Takala and Lohtia et al. is to provide a wireless network that allows subscribers with the capability to request particular types of SMS messages at to anytime.

Art Unit: 2686

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Takala (US 6,622,021) discloses a System and Method For Implementing an Automated Communication Response Service.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Willie J. Daniel, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 305-8636. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marsha Banks-Harold can be reached on (703) 305-4379. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-3180.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-5424.

Marsha D Bank-Harold

WJD,JR/wjd,jr 09 December 2003 MARSHA D. BANKS-HAROLD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600